not worry if you are here illegally, we will, in fact, allow you to stay and give you amnesty, you are, in fact, spitting in the eye of every single person who has done it the right way and of the millions of the people around this world who are attempting to do it the right way.

So I want us to defend our borders, which is part of the defense of the Nation, a significant part of that defense. Of the 3 million people a year that even Time magazine says come in here illegally, we know that a significant percentage, perhaps 300,000 of the 3 million, come here from countries other than Mexico. Of the 300,000 who get in illegally from other countries, perhaps 100,000 are from countries that are identified, by the way, as countries of interest.

Thousands and thousands more are coming across those borders every single year from countries that we identify as being supporters of terrorism. They are coming in illegally. Some of them are paying huge, huge fees to come into this country illegally. Upwards of \$50,000 is being paid by people who desire to come into this country under the radar, without our knowledge. People from the Middle East and from Asia are paying that much money, and you have to ask yourself, why would anybody do that? And where would they get the money if they do not have it themselves, who would be paying to get them in here? Some pretty frightening thoughts come to mind, because I do not think anybody is going to pay \$50.000 to come into this country to work at the 7-Eleven down the street or to pick grapes. I think they have other reasons for coming in. and if somebody else pays for them to come in, what are the reasons anyone would have to put up such large sums of money to sneak people into this country?

Our borders are porous, and they are porous because we do not have the political will to close them. Neither party, neither candidate for President, will suggest this for fear of the political retribution that would come from interest groups inside their own party.

But I suggest that either candidate for President would be doing not just his country a favor and the right thing but would be doing his own candidacy and his own party a favor by talking about the need to secure our borders and by actually doing it, which we can do by the way. We absolutely can do.

# $\square$ 2330

We have the technology to do it tomorrow. We do not have the political will. It is amazing.

So one part of that three-legged stool they put out there is dependent upon our willingness to make hard, hard choices. Well, actually, I am sure in every way, to the extent that we have any ability to make decisions about any one of those three things, they are all tough choices. But in the area of our own national defense, this is not a hard choice. This is not a difficult sort of academic question to answer.

What would be the ramifications of closing the border to those people coming through without our permission? The ramifications would be we would shut down the flow of cheap labor. Hence, we would be confronted by a lot of interest groups that would raise hell about it. We would be confronted by the Democratic party infrastructure that would say, look, these people coming in would eventually become our voters. We cannot close down those borders.

But both of the reasons that are given by individual parties and individual candidates, even if they do not express them openly, those are the reasons why we do not do it, and those reasons pale in significance to the fact that we are ever more vulnerable to an attack because of our borders being porous.

Again, great article. I cannot believe that I am up here talking about a truly honest and definitive look at the issue of immigration coming out of TIME Magazine, but here it is. "Who Left the Door Open?" Great, great article. I suggest that everyone get a copy of it. And I suggest certainly that our colleagues in this Congress get a copy, read it, and take it to heart. Because although there are pressure groups that will attack us if we actually do something about immigration, the fact is that the citizens of this country, John Q. Citizen, and our own children and grandchildren will thank us, because it is the right thing to do.

# LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. Berman (at the request of Ms. Pelosi) for June 18 through June 25, 2004 on account of official business.

Mr. Frost (at the request of Ms. Pelosi) for today on account of personal reasons.

Ms. SLAUGHTER (at the request of Ms. Pelosi) for the week of September 13 on account of official duties in the district.

Mr. GERLACH (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of a family commitment.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of a family emergency.

Mr. BOOZMAN (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of attending the funeral of a friend.

Mr. TAUZIN (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today and the balance of the week on account of medical reasons.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Ms. Woolsey) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mrs. McCarthy of New York, for 5 minutes, today.

Mrs. CAPPS, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. Woolsey, for 5 minutes, today.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$  Brown of Ohio, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. EMANUEL, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. McDermott, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. Corrine Brown of Florida, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. WATSON, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. RUSH, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. Thornberry) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. THORNBERRY, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. Burton of Indiana, for 5 minutes, today and September 14 and 15.

Mr. SHUSTER, for 5 minutes, today and September 14.

Mr. JONES of North Carolina, for 5 minutes, September 15.

Ms. Ros-Lehtinen, for 5 minutes, today.

# SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 1368. An act to authorize the President to award a gold medal on behalf of the Congress to Reverend Doctor Martin Luther King, Jr. (posthumously) and his widow Coretta Scott King in recognition of their contributions to the Nation on behalf of the civil rights movement; to the Committee on Financial Services.

# ADJOURNMENT

Mr. TANCREDO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 33 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, September 14, 2004, at 9 a.m., for morning hour debates.

# EXPENDITURE REPORTS CONCERNING OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL

Reports concerning the foreign currencies and U.S. dollars utilized for speaker-authorized official travel during the fourth quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2004, pursuant to Public Law 95–384 are as follows: